

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 GREGORY A. DiLEONARDO, II,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 TARGET CORPORATION,

13 Defendant.

Case No. C08-5470FDB

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED
MOTION REFERRING CASE TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR
MEDIATION

14 Ordinarily, a case is not referred to a Magistrate Judge for the purpose of conducting
15 mediation ordered in the Scheduling Order. A report on 39.1 mediation is scheduled for August 26,
16 2009, according to the Scheduling Order in this case [Dkt. # 9]. In support of their stipulated
17 motion, the parties state that they “believe that a final settlement will most likely be reached if a
18 magistrate judge of this Court serves as mediator,” without any other rationale for that conclusion.

19 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED: Stipulated Motion Referring Case To Magistrate
20 Judge for Mediation [Dkt. # 16] is DENIED.

21 DATED this 26th day of July, 2009.

22 

23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26